

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
10

11 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
12 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
13 LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS’
MOTION TO ENFORCE PTO NO. 5 RELATED
TO GOVERNMENT INVESTIGATION
DOCUMENTS**

14 This Document Relates to:

15 ALL ACTIONS

Judge: Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros
Courtroom: G – 15th Floor

16
17
18 Having considered Plaintiffs’ Motion to Enforce PTO No. 5 Related to Government
19 Investigation Documents, (the “Motion”), all other papers and evidence submitted in support and
20 opposition, the pertinent pleadings and papers on file in this action, and the arguments of counsel,
21 and all other matters presented to the Court, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **DENIED**.

22
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
24

25 Dated: _____, 2024

HON. LISA J. CISNEROS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE